

1 DELEGATE KOSS: Let me say, Delegate Bennett,
2 that these provisions certainly in terms of appropriation
3 are the same ones as exist in the present Constitution. We
4 haven't changed anything as far as the appropriation is
5 concerned.

6 THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Koss, I don't think that
7 is quite correct. There is a provision in the present
8 Constitution permitting a referendum, an increase in an
9 appropriation.

10 DELEGATE KOSS: I am sorry. I misspoke myself,
11 but with respect to the appropriation question, we have
12 not changed the coverage.

13 THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Bennett.

14 DELEGATE BENNETT: Your intention is not to
15 permit that?

16 DELEGATE KOSS: Yes.

17 THE CHAIRMAN: Delegate Bennett.

18 DELEGATE BENNETT: Take, for instance, the
19 Horace Mann case, where an appropriation was made to aid
20 certain colleges involving some religious problems. Now,
21 could that appropriation have been petitioned to refer-
 endum?